

St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Compliance Inspection Form

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

For local tracking purposes:
pliant – Notice of Noncompliance de Requirements on page 3.)
to public health and safety threat to public health and safety ater protect groundwater water t #5) – Noncompliant
ange: 39-0-040103
go
n for inspection: Building Permit
n for inspection: Building Permit s phone: (763) 656-9151
n for inspection: Building Permit s phone: (763) 656-9151
s phone:(763) 656-9151
s phone: (763) 656-9151
s phone: (763) 656-9151
s phone: (763) 656-9151
s phone: (763) 656-9151
s phone:(763) 656-9151 sentative phone:
entative phone:
s phone:(763) 656-9151 sentative phone:
e compliance status of this system. No own conditions during system construction, ation number: 3785 ense number: 1357
e compliance status of this system. No own conditions during system construction, ation number: 3785 ense number: 1357

				(mm/dd/yyyy)		
1	lm	nact on Public Health - C	ompliance compo	20nt #1 of 5		
<u>''</u>	1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance compone					
	Co	mpliance criteria:		Verification method(s):		
		stem discharges sewage to the und surface.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Searched for surface outlet☒ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home		
		stem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)		
		stem causes sewage backup into elling or establishment.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system ☐ System requires "emergency" pumping		
	Any "yes" answer above indic system is an imminent threat thealth and safety.			☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Co	mments/Explanation:				
2.	Ta	nk Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5			
		mpliance criteria:	www.www.	Verification method(s):		
	Sys	stem consists of a seepage pit, spool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom ☐ Examined construction records		
		epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be npliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)		
	des	wage tank(s) leak below their signed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Conserved liquid level below operating depth ☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)		
	If y	es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"		
		ny "yes" answer above indi stem is failing to protect gr		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)		
	Col	mments/Explanation:				
	Tar	nk scum & sludge level = 0-2 inches	S.			
		erating Level = Good				
	***	Manual Alarm should be replaced,	feels heavy - probably	leaks		
3.	Ot	her Compliance Condition	s – Compliance com	ponent #3 of 5		
	a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown					
	b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.					
		Explain:				
	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☑ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.					
		Explain:				

Inspector initials/Date: MO | 5/6/2016

Property address: 48109 236th Place, McGregor, MN 55760

Property address: 48109 236th Place, McGre	Inspector initials/Date:	MO 5/6/2016				
			(mm/dd/yyyy)			
4. Soil Separation – Compliance co	omponent #4 of 5					
Date of installation: 5/19/2009	Unknown	Verification method(s):				
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	☐ Yes ☐ No	Soil observation does not expire. Proobservations by two independent parallelss site conditions have been alto	rties are sufficient,			
Compliance criteria:		requirements differ.				
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead	☐ Yes ☐ No					
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:		☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/E☐ Other (See Comments/Explanation)	xplanation)			
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:				
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations				
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector		A. Bottom of distribution media	84 Inches			
License required)		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	118 Inches			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	34 Inches			
saturated soil or bedrock.		D. Required compliance separation*	36 Inches			
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater. 5. Operating Permit and Nitroger	-	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if Ordinance. ce component #5 of 5	allowed by Local			
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", A below is require No If "yes", I below	ed			
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge	⊠ No If "yes", B below is requir	red				
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
a. Operating Permit number: Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?						
		☐ Yes ☐ No				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functionin	g? Yes No				
Any "no" answer indicates Nonc	ompliance.					
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to proteground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing syst is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.						

651-296-6300 • www.pca.state.mn.us • 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864
 Available in alternative formats Page 3 of 3

SEPTIC INSPECTION SOIL BORING WORKSHEET

PROPERTY OWNER: **Mike Behm** PARCEL# **39-0-040103 5/06/2016**

TYPE OF DRAINFIELD: Mound

Top of Mound Elev.= 5' 0" = 60
Depth to Bottom of Rock
Bottom of Rock Elev. = 84

SOIL BORING #1					
DEPTH (inches)	TEXTURE	COLOR			
0 – 2	Grass				
2 – 12	Mound Cover				
12 – 28	Clean Sand				
28 – 32	Original Top Soil				
32 – 36	Clay Loam	10YR 4/4			
36 – 38	MOTTLED Clay Loam	10YR 6/1 7.5YR 5/6			

Soil Boring Ground Elevation = 6' 10" = 82
Soil Boring Depth/Restricting Layer + 36
Elevation to Restricting Layer = 118
Less Bottom of Rock Elev. - 84

Amount of Vertical Separation *** = 34

*** THIS SYSTEM MEETS THE REQUIRED 3 FEET OF SEPARATION