

Septic System Compliance Inspection – Existing System

Date: 05-21-2024

Property Owner: Mark Wickoren

Ordered By: Mark Wickoren

Address: 20617 326th Place, Isle, MN 56342

Property ID: 16-1-058-300 Inspector: Tim Woodrow

A compliance inspection was performed at the above location. Previous evaluations were used to determine the level of seasonal saturated soil. The Soil Treatment Area (Drain field) was also inspected to ensure there was no ponding or leaking. The septic tank was pumped and inspected. This onsite system was found to be **Compliant.**

• Impact On Public Health:

System is Compliant

• Tank Integrity:

Tank(s) are compliant

• Other Compliance Conditions:

None

• Soil Separation

Soils are compliant

Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP

NA

I have included a copy of the compliance documents for your record. I have also sent a copy to Aitkin County for their records. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to give us a call.

Thanks!

Tim Woodrow

Owner

218-927-6175

218-927-6175

1037 1st St. NW Aitkin, MN 56431

WWW.TIMBERLAKESSEPTIC.COM



DISCLAIMER:

The septic system inspection conducted for this property meets MPCA requirements for existing systems.

We recommend this system to be serviced and evaluated at least every 36 months by a septic professional.

Any additions to the home or increased use of the home may require an increase in system capacity.

- 1. Compliance Requirements evaluated as part of this inspection include the verification that the system tanks do not leak below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface distribution medium and the seasonally saturated soils if applicable, no discharge of septage/effluent to the ground surface or surface water and no imminent safety hazards exist. Timber Lakes Septic Inc does not inspect interior pumps, plumbing, or associated components.
- 2. Certification of this system does not warranty future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded and discharge to ground surface as a result of increase use(more people in house, faulty plumbing fixtures, change in habits, groundwater infiltration etc), improper maintenance, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, etc. The system can also stop working simply due to its age. The life expectancy of a system is variable and dependent upon the items previously listed. Proper maintenance and water conservation will help contribute to a longer system life.
- 3. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection of longevity of the system. A compliance inspection is for the purpose of verifying if the system is protective of public health and safety as well as protecting the ground water at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA/Local Government Unit Code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the system was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from buildings as the use of the buildings may have changed since the original design was completed. These changes may include additional bedrooms, occupants, increased use, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original system design, quality of the construction practices during installation, or quality of materials used.
- 4. Timber Lakes Septic Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the ISTS system for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. There are numerous factors which may affect the proper operation of a ISTS System and the inability of Timber Lakes Septic to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the ISTS System, the Compliance Report shall not be construed as a warranty or guarantee of future system performance.
- 5. By accepting this report, the client understands that Timber Lakes Septic will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for services provided.
- 6. This Report is prepared for the person or rep of the person providing payment for the fees charged.



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking	ı numher
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 16-1-058300	Reason for Inspection	Permit
Local regulatory authority info: Aitkin County		Citing
Property address: 20617 326th Place, Isle, MN 56342		
Owner/representative: Mark Wickoren		Owner's phone: 651-894-3381
Brief system description: 1350 septic tank gravity to 11' by 27' of	drainfield	
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/21/2024		
☐ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notic	ce of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or	use discontinued within the t	ound water must be upgraded, replaced, or ime required by local ordinance.
a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public	health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of a of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinan under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ole)	
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	•	health and safety
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing		·
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	ent #3) – <i>Imminent threat to</i>	public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.		
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failing		, , , , ,
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Cor		oncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations		
.		
Certification		
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknot inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	to determine the compliance st wn conditions during system co	atus of this system. No determination of instruction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	and correct, to the best of my l	knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Timber Lakes Septic Service		Certification number: C7644
Inspector signature:		License number: L455
(This document has been electronically sig	ned)	Phone: 218-927-6175
Necessary or locally required supporting do	cumentation (must b	e attached)
☑ Soil observation logs☐ System/As-Built☐ Locally re☐ Other information (list):	equired forms 🛮 Tank Integ	grity Assessment

ess Name:Timber Lakes Septic Service	ce		Date: 5/21/2024
npact on public health – C	ompliance comp	ponent #1 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting of	documentation:
System discharges sewage to the	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Other:	
ground surface		☐ Not applicable	
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No		
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar			•
Describe verification methods and	l results:		
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting d	locumentation:
System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Empty tank(s) viewed b	by inspector
cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?		Name of maintenance I	business:
Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☒ No	License number of main	ntenance business:
designed operating depth?		Date of maintenance:	
			ssessment (Attach)
		Date of maintenance	5/15/2024
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):	5/15/2024 (must be within three years)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat		(mm/dd/yyyy):	(must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies w
Any "yes" answer above indic		(mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions t Minn. R. 7082.0700 sub	(must be within three years) to ensure assessment complies w

В	Property Address: 20617 326th Place, Isle, MN 56342 Business Name: Timber Lakes Septic Service	Date: 5/21/2024
3.	. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown	cured?
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	/? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	The Market of Children
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	Attached comparting decomparts (Co. C. D.)	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
ı.		5 M Not applicable
ŀ	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	
ŀ	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required
l.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If	"yes", A below is required
l.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
I.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
I.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria:	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
I.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
I.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required
1.	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 of Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No If BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met? Yes No b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? Yes No Any "no" answer indicates noncompliance. Describe verification methods and results:	"yes", A below is required "yes", B below is required

usiness Name:Timber Lakes Septic Service	7,7	Date: 5/21/2024		
Soil separation – Compliance cor	mponent #5 o	f 5		
Date of installation 08/1978 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown			
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:		
beverage loughing:		☐ Soil observation logs completed for the report		
Compliance criteria (select one):		☑ Two previous verifications of required vertical separatio		
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)		
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b.Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations		
performance systems located in Shoreland		A. Bottom of distribution media		
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock		
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical		C. System separation		
separation distance from periodically		D. Required compliance separation*		
saturated soil or bedrock.*		*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.		
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	☐ Yes ☐ No*			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				

Describe verification methods and results:

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.



Sewage tank integrity assessment form

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Purpose: This form *may* be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. Only a licensed maintenance business is authorized to pump the tank for assessment. A copy of this information should be submitted to the system owner and be maintained by the licensed SSTS business for a period of five (5) years from the assessment date.

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes *necessary supporting documentation* to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: <u>Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b)</u>. This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

The information and certified statement on this form is **required** when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B)(1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B),(C), and (D) and; Minn. R. 7083.0730(C).

Owner information			
Owner/Representative Wickoren, Mark			
Property address: 20617 326th Pl, Isle, MN 56342			
Local Regulatory Authority: Aitkin County	Parcel ID): 16-1-058300	
System status			
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/15/2024			
☑ Certificate of sewage tank compliance	☐ Notice of sewage t	ank non-compliance	
Com	npliance criteria:		
The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching proundwater."	pit, or other pit - "Failure to Protect	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
The SSTS has a sewage tank that leaks below the designed Groundwater."	ed operating depth - "Failure to Protect	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of s or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other un Public Health or Safety. "	structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, safe condition - " Imminent Threat to	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above i	ndicates sewage tank non-complian	ce.	
Company information	Designated Certified Individ	dual (DCI) information	
Company name: Timber Lakes Septic Service Inc	Print name: Dan Swanson	(,	
Business license number: L455	Certification number: C6023	Certification number: C6023	
I personally conducted the work described above as a Des maintenance, installation, or service provider Business. I po status of each sewage tank in this SSTS.	ignated Certified Individual of a Minnesota- ersonally conducted the necessary procedu	licensed SSTS inspection, Ires to assess the compliance	
By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above stathis information can be used for the purpose of processing	atements to be true and correct, to the best this form.	of my knowledge, and that	
Designated Certified Individual's signature: Dan Swanson (This document	has been electronically signed.)	m/dd/yyyy): 5/15/2024	

www.pca.state.mn.us
wq-wwists4-91 • 5/10/21

16-1-058300 Mark Wickeren 20617 326 MPlace Isla Minnesota 56342 Lot 26 3 Pederson Addn. Lakeside Tup. 44 25 5" Topso: 10/03/3 Verified 313 5/21/27 of Rock 2 Bob Bartol CB/81 Bob Bould 3-27-15

ent by:	Jun-05-99 09:55 _{PM}		556>2189274372	Page 3
What methods were used to	o make the determinations for the compliance	inspection?	16-1-04	<u> </u>
The state of the s	ing			
				*
Plasse attach the following	ži.			
1) Site sketch. Suggested reserved soil treatment	items for drawing include: Well, well setback to sy	stem, dwelling or other buried lines (those NOT its and buildings.	establishment tank(s), son the installed by the utility). Income soil type, evidence of mo	tinde sizes and
length and approximate 2) Soil boring logs, show and standing water and	area, curtain drain, property lines, the state of distances from fixed reference points such as stroot ing each horizon. Indicate the fexture, atructure, or i whether the material is fill. Locate each horing of quirements of the local ordinance that are different	nor, depth of tack different a attached site sketch. than the sate requiremen	is referred to an this form.	
	•			
A. I hereby certify that a	II the information I have provided regarding the ind	lividual sewage treatmen	t system is true, accurate, an Date	d complete.
Property Owner B. I hereby certify as a 5 Designer I that I concepts and that my 6	itate of Minnesota licensed Inspector and/or Design fucted an investigation in accordance with applicable bearvations recorded are accurate as of this date.	er t or Qualified Employ he requirements that acci- vo determination of futu- he system inadequate m	ee inspector and/or Qualific trately determined the comp to hydraulic performance ha pintenance, or future water t	d Employee liance status of thi a been nor can be isage.
1_onartor's name (firiti)	Danin Eddy	Phone	(7)	
Employed by Else Lu	on Number 40 70 Address NC	Josh Lyon ,	7/11 5.5 7 Cal	suhn Ida hefar
Valid until 30de un that time.	Mess the system becomes an imminent threat to pub	lic health or safety as de	Detc	d mach: and mach
	1 1 1		Date 7/25/	100

Ungrade Criteria

Minnesota Statutes § 115.55 ("law") Upgrade Requirements

Any situation with the potential to immediately and adversaly affect or threaten public health or safety, must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period of time if required by local ordinance.

If the local unit of government with jurisdiction over the system has adopted an ordinance containing alternative local standards, the existing system must comply with the ordinance. If the system does not comply with the ordinance, it must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued according to the ordinance.

If a seapage pit, drywell, cesspool, or leaching pit exists and the local unit of government with jurisdiction over the system has not adopted local standards to the contrary, the system is failing and must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.

If the system falls to provide sufficient groundwater protection, then the local unit of government or its agent shall order that the system be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by rule or the local ordinance

If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, wellneed protection areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

Site Sketch Plan: Please indicate the location of:Wells, well setback to demponents, buildings, septic system components, reserved septic system area, proper waterways, and buried lines. Include sites and length and approximate distances from fixed testence points, Provide a North disectional error!

rence points, Provide a North directional errow!	16-1-058 300		
		•	
garage while			
34 17-DOOR			
74 EHUK			
93 Field V Lake			

Soil Borings (SB#) thocate each boring on the map above, included in the column the soil texture, surnoture, color, depth of each different soil type; evidence of mottling, bedrock and standing water and the depths to each. Also, indicate if the material is fill.

nding water and the or	SB #	SB #	3B #
SB #			
food Top soil			
·			
Sand norko 10 YR 4/5			
LOYR			
4/5			
H feet			
'			
			in the

inches	inches
inches Inches Propriet OF MOTTLING, SEASONAL EIGH	inches WATER TABLE OR BEDROCK ON ABOVE LINES.
o bactions of which portions o	f the ISTS age noncomplying and what needs to be
done to bring the ISTS into compliance?	