

Septic System Compliance Inspection – Existing System

Date: 11-9-23

Property Owner: Trotter, Cory & Katie

Ordered By: Trotter, Cory

Address: 39067 455th Place Aitkin, MN 56431

Property ID: 01-0-010101 Inspector: Tim Woodrow

A compliance inspection was performed at the above location. Previous evaluations were used to determine the level of seasonal saturated soil. The Soil Treatment Area (Drain field) was also inspected to ensure there was no ponding or leaking. The septic tank was pumped and inspected. This onsite system was found to be **Compliant**.

• Impact On Public Health:

System is Compliant

Tank Integrity:

Tank(s) are compliant

• Other Compliance Conditions:

None

Soil Separation

Soils are compliant

mach

• Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP

NA

I have included a copy of the compliance documents for your record. I have also sent a copy to Aitkin County for their records. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to give us a call.

Thanks!

Tim Woodrow

Owner

218-927-6175



DISCLAIMER:

The septic system inspection conducted for this property meets MPCA requirements for existing systems.

We recommend this system to be serviced and evaluated at least every 36 months by a septic professional.

Any additions to the home or increased use of the home may require an increase in system capacity.

- 1. Compliance Requirements evaluated as part of this inspection include the verification that the system tanks do not leak below the designed operating depth, the required separation between the bottom of the subsurface distribution medium and the seasonally saturated soils if applicable, no discharge of septage/effluent to the ground surface or surface water and no imminent safety hazards exist. Timber Lakes Septic Inc does not inspect interior pumps, plumbing, or associated components.
- 2. Certification of this system does not warranty future use beyond the date of inspection. Any system, new or old, can be hydraulically overloaded and discharge to ground surface as a result of increase use(more people in house, faulty plumbing fixtures, change in habits, groundwater infiltration etc), improper maintenance, tree roots, freezing conditions, surface drainage problems, etc. The system can also stop working simply due to its age. The life expectancy of a system is variable and dependent upon the items previously listed. Proper maintenance and water conservation will help contribute to a longer system life.
- 3. A compliance inspection is not meant to be a test or inspection of longevity of the system. A compliance inspection is for the purpose of verifying if the system is protective of public health and safety as well as protecting the ground water at the date and time the inspection was performed. This inspection is not intended to determine if the system was originally designed or installed to past or present MPCA/Local Government Unit Code requirements. This inspection is not intended to determine if the system was designed and/or installed to support the anticipated flow from buildings as the use of the buildings may have changed since the original design was completed. These changes may include additional bedrooms, occupants, increased use, etc. In addition, this inspection is not intended to determine the quality of the original system design, quality of the construction practices during installation, or quality of materials used.
- 4. Timber Lakes Septic Inc. has not been retained to warranty, guarantee, or certify the proper functioning of the ISTS system for any period of time beyond the date of inspection or into the future. There are numerous factors which may affect the proper operation of a ISTS System and the inability of Timber Lakes Septic to supervise or monitor the use or maintenance of the ISTS System, the Compliance Report shall not be construed as a warranty or guarantee of future system performance.
- 5. By accepting this report, the client understands that Timber Lakes Septic will not be responsible for any monetary damages exceeding the fee for services provided.
- 6. This Report is prepared for the person or rep of the person providing payment for the fees charged.



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance inspection report form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf.

Property information	Local tracking number:
Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 01-0-010101	Reason for Inspection Permit
Local regulatory authority info: Aitkin County	
Property address: 39067 455 th Place Aitkin, MN 56431	
Owner/representative: Cory Trotter	Owner's phone: Via text
Brief system description: 1000/500 septic, 750 lift, 3 manholes	
System status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _11/9/2023	
☑ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance
(Valid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an imminent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and	Systems failing to protect ground water must be upgraded, replaced, or use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance.
abatement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or a shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be
*Note: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. R. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not guarantee future performance.	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applical	ble)
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component #1	•
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Failing	
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	nent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance compon	nent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 7080.	.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Failir	ng to protect groundwater
☐ Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Col	mpliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies
Comments or recommendations	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered future system performance has been nor can be made due to unkno inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	I to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of own conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,
By typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be true used for the purpose of processing this form.	e and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be
Business name: Timber Lakes Septic Service	Certification number: C7644
Inspector signature: Tim Woodrow	License number: L455
(This document has been electronically sig	gned) Phone: <u>218-927-6175</u>
Necessary or locally required supporting do	ocumentation (must be attached)
 Soil observation logs ☐ System/As-Built ☐ Locally r ☐ Other information (list): 	required forms 🛛 Tank Integrity Assessment 🔲 Operating Permit

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	☐ Other: ☐ Not applicable
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	- Not applicable
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health ar	the system is an	
Describe verification methods and	results:	
nk integrity – Compliance	component #2	of 5
nk integrity – Compliance Compliance criteria:	component #2	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their		Attached supporting documentation: □ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ⊠ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/8/2023 (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 11/8/2023 (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic.	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 11/8/2023 (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit? Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth? If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indic is failing to protect groundwat	☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Yes* ☒ No ates the system er.	Attached supporting documentation: Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector Name of maintenance business: License number of maintenance business: Date of maintenance: Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach) Date of maintenance 11/8/2023 (must be within three years) (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1)) Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below

	operty Address: 39067 455 th Place Aitkin, MN 56431	
Bu	siness Name: Timber Lakes Septic Service	Date: 11/9/2023
_		
3.	Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unse	cured?
	☐ Yes* ☑ No ☐ Unknown	
	3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	y? ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown
	*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	
	3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
	3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500? *Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
	Describe verification methods and results:	
	besome verification methods and results.	
	Attached supporting documentation: Not applicable	
1 (f 5 M Not applicable
	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 o	f 5 🛭 Not applicable
ı	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 or list the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required
ı	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system design? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Is the system design?	f "yes", A below is required
l	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? Yes No Is the system design? Yes Yes	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all sthe system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all sthe system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design? BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria: a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
1	Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* — Compliance component #4 or all the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required

Βι	siness Name: Timber Lakes Septic	Service		Date: 11/9/2023
•	Soil separation – Compli	ance coi	mponent #5 c	of 5
	Date of installation 9/10/2003 (mm/dd/yyyy)		Unknown	
	Shoreland/Wellhead protection/F beverage lodging?	ood	⊠ Yes □ No	Attached supporting documentation:
	actorage loaging :			☐ Soil observation logs completed for the report
	Compliance criteria (select on	e):		☐ Two previous verifications of required vertical separatio
	5a. For systems built prior to April 1, not located in Shoreland or Well. Protection Area or not serving a beverage or lodging establishme	head food,	☐ Yes ☐ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area)
	Drainfield has at least a two-foot separation distance from periodi saturated soil or bedrock.	vertical cally		
	5b. Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠ Yes □ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
			A. Bottom of distribution media	
			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	
	Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			C. System separation
	separation distance from periodic			D. Required compliance separation*
	saturated soil or bedrock.*			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.
:	5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Perfo systems built under pre-2008 Ru Type IV or V systems built under Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License I 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced License required > 2,500 gallons	les; - 2008 required ≤ I Inspector	☐ Yes ☐ No*	
	Drainfield meets the designed ve separation distance from periodic saturated soil or bedrock.			

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864 •

Page 4 of 4



520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Sewage tank integrity assessment form

Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS) Program

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Purpose: This form *may* be used to certify the compliance status of the sewage tank components of the SSTS. This form is not a complete SSTS inspection report, only a tank integrity assessment, and may only certify sewage tank compliance status when entirely completed and signed by a qualified professional. SSTS compliance inspection report forms can be found at: https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

Instructions: This form may be completed, and signed, by a Designated Certified Individual (DCI) of a licensed SSTS inspection, maintenance, installation, or service provider business who personally conducts the necessary procedures to assess the compliance status of each sewage tank in the system. Only a licensed maintenance business is authorized to pump the tank for assessment. A copy of this information should be submitted to the system owner and be maintained by the licensed SSTS business for a period of five (5) years from the assessment date.

When this form is signed by a qualified certified professional, it becomes *necessary supporting documentation* to an Existing System Compliance Inspection Report: <u>Compliance inspection form - Existing system (wq-wwists4-31b)</u>. This form can be found on the MPCA website at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/water/inspections.

The information and certified statement on this form is **required** when existing septic tank compliance status is determined by an individual other than the SSTS Inspector that submits an inspection report. This form represents a third party assessment of SSTS component compliance and is allowable under Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B)(1). This form is valid for a period of three years beyond the signature date on this form unless a new evaluation is requested by the owner or owner's agent or is required according to local regulations. Additional Administrative Rule references for this activity can be found at Minn. R. 7082.0700, subp. 4(B),(C), and (D) and; Minn. R. 7083.0730(C).

Owner information		
Owner/Representative Trotter, Cory		
Property address: 39067455 th PI, Aitkin, MN 56431		
Local Regulatory Authority: Aitkin County	Parcel ID:	01-0-010101
System status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 11/8/2023		
□ Certificate of sewage tank compliance	☐ Notice of sewage ta	ink non-compliance
Compliance	e criteria:	
The SSTS has a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or othe Groundwater. "	er pit - "Failure to Protect	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
The SSTS has a sewage tank that leaks below the designed operat Groundwater. "	ing depth - "Failure to Protect	☐ Yes* ⊠ No
The SSTS presents a threat to public safety by reason of structurall or weak) maintenance hole cover(s) or lids or any other unsafe conceptible.		☐ Yes* ☒ No
Any "yes" answer above indicates	s sewage tank non-compliand	e.
Company information	Designated Certified Individ	ual (DCI) information
Company name: Timber Lakes Septic Service Inc	Print name: Dan Swanson	
Business license number: L455	Certification number: C6023	
I personally conducted the work described above as a Designated C maintenance, installation, or service provider Business. I personally status of each sewage tank in this SSTS.		
By typing/signing my name below, I certify the above statements this information can be used for the purpose of processing this form	to be true and correct, to the best of	of my knowledge, and that
Designated Certified Individual's signature: Dan Swanson (This document has been	Date (mn electronically signed.)	n/dd/yyyy): 11/8/2023

INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM INSPECTION FORM

1	Spection 9/14/03 Permit Number 36683
	spection 7/14/8 3 Permit Number 36683
	Parcel Number 0 / - 6 - 4 / 67 # ₀
Project Address	Installer Dale Lundquist
City Zip Code	New Repair
	DIST. or DROP BOX & TYPE
APTER & ALLA	
SETBACKS: Buildings to tank(s) 40	TRENCHES, BEDS, OR GRAVELLESS LEACHFIELD: Trench depth
Buildings to drainfield	Trench length
Well(s) 50' or 100' 50'	Trench bottom width
Lake/Greek@Wetland	Trench bottom level
SEPTIC TANKS:	Trench spacing
Liquid capacity 1860	Drainfield rock below pipe
Manufacturer & type Jac pre-Cog!	Size of gravelless pipe
Type of baffle flastis	Depth of backfill
Inspection pipes 1-4 1-6	Absorption area: square feet
Manholes acress 3-	lineal feet
No. & height of risers	
MOUNDS:	PUMPS:
Percent slope 6	Tank capacity 630
Upslape dike width 12	Tank manufacturer & type, Jac pre-cass
Downslope dike width 14'	No. & height of risers 3/2
Sideslope dike width	Pump manufacturer & model# 60 ~ LL
Drainfield rock below pipe 4	Horsepower & GPM 1/3 -
Depth of sand below rock 12"	Feet of head 17
Perforation size & spacing 14-3	Cycles per day 5
Pipe size & spacing 11/2 - 3/afterate	Gallons per cycle 100
Dimensions of rock bed 10 ×38	Size of discharge line 2
Dimensions of sand base 38 × 6	Type of electrical hookup
Final cover 11 in Cont Work Edgs	Type & location of alarm Elec. Index
DRAWING OF SYSTEM	Cycle counter (commercial)
\sim 7.	
Dodate Ban Ishu	
®	L.J
	Est.
\o'	524
The state of the s	
Gory - 1960 30	
Liny in 630	
	3
	and a disco
Jeso	or ptt.
	10" sand 10418 3/3
	26"
Inspector's Comments	
Corrective Action Required	
1.71	120110
Inspector's Signature Yellow Yellow	Installer's Signature AUU Applicant Pink-Installer

FIELD EVALUATION SHEET

PRELIMINARY EVALUATION DATE 6/28/		
PROPERTY OWNER: Cong Trotter	DV OTATE ZID	PHONE
	Y,STATE,ZIP:	3 6 93 /
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	T D TI	VP NAME Aitkin
FINH SEC	_	E CLASS OHWL FT
PIN# SEC FIRE# LAKE/RIVER FI.ss 1907 Res	LAK	E CLASSOHWLFT.
DESCRIPTION OF SOIL TREATM	MENT AREAS	
	AREA #2	REFERENCE BM ELEV. 160,0 FT.
	YESNO_	REFERENCE BM DESCRIPTION
COMPACTED AREAS YESNO_x_		Concrete under Silo
FLOODING YES NO 3	YESNO_C	
RUN ON POTENTIAL YESNO_≥ '	YESNO_V	water and the second se
SLOPE %		
DIRECTION OF SLOPE		
VEGETATION TYPES Grace		The second secon
VEGETATION TYPES Grass		
DEPTH TO STANDING WATER OR MOTTLED	SOIL: BORING#	1 <u>36",</u> 1A <u>36"</u> , 2 <u>36"</u> ,2A <u>36"</u>
BOTTOM ELEVATION FIRST TRENCH OR B	OTTOM OF ROCK	BED: #1FT., #2FT.
SOIL SIZING FACTOR: SITE#1 /.よ7	, SITE #2	1.27
CONSTRUCTION RELATED ISSUES:		
		020-1
LIC# 230 SITE EVALUATOR	SIGNATURE:	ale of frequent
SITE EVALUATOR NAME: Dale R Lus	ndquist TE	LEPHONE# 318-927-3933
LUG REVIEW		
Comments:		

SOIL BORING LOGS ON REVERSE SIDE

Corg Tnotter

SOILS CHARTS FOR BOTH PROPOSED AND ALTERNATE SITES

1 (PROPOSED) SOILS DATA

DEPTH	TEXTURE	MUNSELL
(INCHES)		COLOR
0-10"	Top	à S011
10-25 7	S	C-21
de-30"	5	- 3
36	Moth	Thing
:		

2 (PROPOSED) SOILS DATA

DEPTH	TEXTURE	MUNSELL
(INCHES)		COLOR
0-10"	Blac	& Dirt
10-25"		-4
25"-30"	<i>"</i> ડ	<i>آ</i> ر کی ا
36"	Moth	ing

1 (ALTERNATE) SOILS DATA

DEPTH (INCHES)	TEXTURE	MUNSELL COLOR
0-14"	Blo	ck Ont
14-25"	Ś	_ 4
25-30/	ج کی	, 3
	りっけんこ	1
: :		
· :		
:		

2 (ALTERNATE) SOILS DATA

DEPTH	TEXTURE	MUNSELL
(INCHES)		COLOR
0-14/11	BI	lack Dint
14-25"	′ .	5-4
25-30	ن خ	5-3
36 "	Moth	r J

Mississippi River Cong Trotter old Sarage 5,10 concrete J 100.0 "New Moond 581 582 34x 62 35 site ToPL 30/014 To Phline Barn 100,S2 DK1860 Combo Tank 98 x 48, 172' to Pl live 130' obtank.
Tobe
pumped
+Piles Ö South PL Line Hew pell Or we way