

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

# Compliance inspection report form

## **Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment System (SSTS)**

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions: Inspector must submit completed form to Local Governmental Unit (LGU) and system owner within 15 days of final determination of compliance or noncompliance. Instructions for filling out this form are located on the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) website at <a href="https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf">https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-wwists4-31a.pdf</a>.

rcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range: 24-0-056501	Local tracking number:		
	Reason for Inspection Permit		
cal regulatory authority info: Aitkin Co.			
operty address: 29982 391st PL. Aitkin Mn 56431			
vner/representative: Robert Lawson	Owner's phone:		
ef system description: Existing 3 bedroom pressure bed is	s compliant, new house needs a new tank location		
stem status			
stem status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 5/8/2023			
□ Compliant – Certificate of compliance*	☐ Noncompliant – Notice of noncompliance		
alid for 3 years from report date unless evidence of an minent threat to public health or safety requiring removal and			
batement under section 145A.04, subdivision 8 is discovered or shorter time frame exists in Local Ordinance.)	upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt		
ote: Compliance indicates conformance with Minn. 7080.1500 as of system status date above and does not larantee future performance.	of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance or under section 145A.04 subdivision 8.		
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applic	cable)		
☐ Impact on public health (Compliance component	#1) – Imminent threat to public health and safety		
☐ Tank integrity (Compliance component #2) – Fail	ling to protect groundwater		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comp	ponent #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety		
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance comp	ponent #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
System not abandoned according to Minn. R. 70	80.2500 (Compliance component #3) – Failing to protect groundwater		
☐ Soil separation (Compliance component #5) – Fa	ailing to protect groundwater		
	Compliance component #4) – Noncompliant - local ordinance applies		
_ 1 51 1 (			
Comments or recommendations			
Comments or recommendations ertification			
ertification  wereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unl	ered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
ertification  wereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unladequate maintenance, or future water usage.	ered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
ertification  nereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathed ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unladequate maintenance, or future water usage.  Ny typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be	known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,		
ertification  thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gatheture system performance has been nor can be made due to unladequate maintenance, or future water usage.  The typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be sed for the purpose of processing this form.  The typing my name below is given by typing my name below. I certify the above statements to be sed for the purpose of processing this form.  The typing my name is given by the purpose of processing this form.	known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be		
ertification  whereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather, ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unlandequate maintenance, or future water usage.  Why typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be seed for the purpose of processing this form.	known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,  true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: C- 3589  License number: L-1347		
pertification  Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unladequate maintenance, or future water usage.  The typing my name below, I certify the above statements to be seed for the purpose of processing this form.  The typing my name below is certify the above statements to be seed for the purpose of processing this form.  The typing my name is continuously the continuously in the certification of the purpose of processing this form.  The typing my name below is certification of the purpose of processing this form.  The typing my name below is certification of the purpose of processing this form.  The typing my name below is certification of the purpose of processing this form.	known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,  true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: C- 3589  License number: L-1347  y signed)  Phone: 218-821-0704		
ertification  Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather, ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unleadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  The processing this form.  The processing this form.  The processing this form.  The processing this form.  The processing this been electronically the spectronically required supporting.	known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,  true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: C- 3589  License number: L-1347  y signed)  Phone: 218-821-0704  documentation (must be attached)		
ertification  Thereby certify that all the necessary information has been gather, ture system performance has been nor can be made due to unleadequate maintenance, or future water usage.  The processing this form.  The processing this form.  The processing this form.  The processing this form.  The processing this been electronically the spectronically required supporting.	known conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system,  true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that this information can be  Certification number: C- 3589  License number: L-1347  y signed)  Phone: 218-821-0704		

Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
System discharges sewage to the ground surface	☐ Yes* ☒ No	Other:
System discharges sewage to drain	☐ Yes* ☒ No	☐ Not applicable
tile or surface waters.		
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes* ☒ No	
Any "yes" answer above indicates imminent threat to public health a		
Describe verification methods and	l results:	
X Checked Drainfield / Mound	Area For surfacing	
X Checked Drainfield / Mour	nd Area for Seepage	
X Checked For Ponding in E	Existing Inspection Pipe	es
nk integrity – Compliance	e component #2	
Compliance criteria:		Attached supporting documentation:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit,	e component #2	
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit,		Attached supporting documentation:  ☐ Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?	☐ Yes* ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their	☐ Yes* ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?	☐ Yes* ☐ No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance  (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy): (must be within three years)  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies within three years)
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))
Compliance criteria:  System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, leaching pit, or other pit?  Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth?  If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:  Any "yes" answer above indicates.	Yes* No	Attached supporting documentation:  Empty tank(s) viewed by inspector  Name of maintenance business:  License number of maintenance business:  Date of maintenance:  Existing tank integrity assessment (Attach)  Date of maintenance (mm/dd/yyyy):  (See form instructions to ensure assessment complies with Minn. R. 7082.0700 subp. 4 B (1))  Tank is Noncompliant (pumping not necessary – explain below

Business Name: Brummer Septic LLC.	Date: 5/8/2023
Branifica depart 220.	Date. Ororzozo
. Other compliance conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5	
	d0
3a. Maintenance hole covers appear to be structurally unsound (damaged, cracked, etc.), or unsec ☐ Yes* ☐ No ☐ Unknown	cured?
3b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety	2 □ Ves* ☑ No □ Unknown
*Yes to 3a or 3b - System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	: L Tes Z 140 C Olikilowi
3c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector?	☐ Yes* ☒ No
3d. System not abandoned in accordance with Minn. R. 7080.2500?	☐ Yes* ☐ No
*Yes to 3c or 3d - System is failing to protect groundwater.	_ 100 _ 1.10
Describe verification methods and results:	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation:   Not applicable	
Attached supporting documentation:  Not applicable  Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	f 5 ⊠ Not applicable
. Operating permit and nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #4 of	
Solution    Solut	f "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is require
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes  No	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?  Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP specified in the system design?   BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design  If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.  Compliance criteria:  a. Have the operating permit requirements been met?  b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?   Yes  No	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?	f "yes", A below is required f "yes", B below is required

usiness Name: Brummer Septic LLC.		Date: 5/8/2023		
Soil separation – Compliance cor	nponer	nt #5 o	f 5	
Date of installation (mm/dd/yyyy)	☐ Unkno	own		
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?		□No	Attached supporting documentation:  ☑ Soil observation logs completed for the	ne report
Compliance criteria (select one):			☐ Two previous verifications of required	vertical separatio
5a. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐ Yes	□ No*	☐ Not applicable (No soil treatment area	a)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			☐ This is the 2 <sup>nd</sup> soils verification on this	s system
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.				
5b. Non-performance systems built	⊠ Yes	☐ No*	Indicate depths or elevations	
April 1, 1996, or later or for non- performance systems located in Shoreland			A. Bottom of distribution media	Elv.= 97.2'
or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:  Drainfield has a three-foot vertical			B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	Elv.= 94.4'
			C. System separation	34"
separation distance from periodically			D. Required compliance separation*	31.5' at 15%
saturated soil or bedrock.*			*May be reduced up to 15 percent if allo Ordinance.	owed by Local
5c. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules 7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Intermediate Inspector License required ≤ 2,500 gallons per day; Advanced Inspector License required > 2,500 gallons per day)	Yes	□ No*		
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically				

\*Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.

#### Describe verification methods and results:

saturated soil or bedrock.

Conducted soil boring on North end of presure bed.

Upgrade requirements: (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

800-657-3864

{ Design Drawing }

Property Owner: Parcel ID. Number: Robert Lawson

24-0-056501

Date: 5/8/23 Address:

Designer's Initials 29982 391st PL. Aitkin MN 56431

> Existing Garage

JB

North

Bench Mark

power pole

Nail Elv

Soil Boring #1 Elv.= 98.4'

one Inch = 40ft.

0"-9" Top Soil 10YR3/2

9" - 23" Sandy Loam 10YR5/4

23" - 49" Med Sand 10YR5/4 49" Mottles 7.5YR5/6

Mottles at Elv. = 94.4'

Grade at Pressure Bed Inspection Pipe Elv. = 99'

9" cover plus 12" rock = 1.8 '

Bottom of Rock Elv.= 97.2'

Seperation = 2.8ft or 34"

Existing Tank

Deep Well cap Elv= 97: Top of Survey pin at NE lot Corner Elv.= 100'

**Existing Grade** 

Proposed Garage

Surface/ SHWT Wail on Power pole = Bench Mark 100' Bench Mark 100' Ground Elv. BM 98.6 Ground Elv. Tank 96.8

Existing 2" pipe at pump tank Elv.= 94.8' Existing Garage grade SW corner Elv.= 96.8' Deep well Cap Elv.= 97.5'

Access Route for Tank Maintenance

Ground at Proposed house 97' Approx.Sewer pipe at HouseElv.= 95'

Please show all that apply (Existing)

98 4

Wells within 100ft. Of Drain field.

Water lines within 10 ft. of Drain field.

Drain field Areas:

Soil Bore 1

Soil Bore 2

Soil Bore 3

Please Draw to Scale with North to Top or Left Side of Page:

Proposed

Disturbed/Compacted Areas Component Location

**Property Lines** 

OHW ordinary high water

Structures

Lot Easements

Setbacks

# SEPTIC INSPECTION SOIL BORING WORKSHEET

PROPERTY OWNER: **JEANETTE KIMMEL** PARCEL# **24-0-056501 10/01/08** 

TYPE OF DRAINFIELD: PRESSURE BED DEPTH TO BOTTOM OF ROCK = 26"

Boring #1			
DEPTH (inches)	TEXTURE	COLOR	
0 - 2	Grass		
2 – 10	Sandy Loam	7.5YR 4/4	
10-22	Sandy Loam	7.5YR 6/4	
22 – 36	Sand	7.5YR 6/4	
36 – 55	Sandy Loam	7.5YR 4/4	
55 – 60	Sandy Loam	10YR 6/3	
0 – 60	NO MOTTLES FOUND	1 1	

		(inches)
Soil Boring Depth/Restricting Layer		60
Less Bottom of Rock Depth.	-	26
Amount of Vertical Separation ***	=	34

Boring #2			
DEPTH (inches)	TEXTURE	COLOR	
0- 2	Grass		
2- 6	Sandy Loam	7.5YR 4/4	
6 – 24	Sandy Loam	10YR 5/4	
24 – 48	Sand	7.5YR 4/6 (MATRIX)	
48 – 60	Sandy Loam	10YR 6/3	
58 – 60	Small Band of MOTTLES	10YR 6/1 5YR 5/8	

		(inches)	
Soil Boring Depth/Restricting Layer		58	
Less Bottom of Rock Depth.	-	26	
Amount of Vertical Separation ***	=	32	•



# **Detailed Parcel Report**

Parcel Number: 24-0-056501

## **General Information**

Township/City:

**NORDLAND TWP** 

Taxpayer Name:

LAWSON, ROBERT P

**Taxpayer Address:** 

3307 KATIE LANE

ARDEN HILLS MN 55112

**Property Address:** 

29982 391st Pl

Township:

46

Lake Number:

1012500

Range:

26

Lake Name:

LONE LAKE

Section:

30

Acres:

1.70

Green Acres:

No

School District:

1.00

Plat:

**Brief Legal Description:** 

1.70 AC OF LOT 1 IN DOC #244352

#### **Tax Information**

Class Code 1:

Non-Comm Seasonal Residential Recreational

Class Code 2:

Unclassified

Class Code 3:

Unclassified

Homestead:

Non Homestead

Assessment Year:

2023

**Estimated Land Value:** 

\$302,200.00

**Estimated Building Value:** 

\$24,500.00

**Estimated Total Value:** 

\$326,700.00

**Prior Year Total Taxable Value:** 

\$269,600.00

**Current Year Net Tax (Specials Not Included):** 

\$1,630.00

**Total Special Assessments:** 

\$0.00

\*\*Current Year Balance Not Including Penalty:

\$0.00

**Delinquent Taxes:** 

No

<sup>\*</sup> For more information on delinquent taxes, please call the Aitkin County Treasurer's Office at 218-927-7325.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Balance Due on a parcel does not include late payment penalties.