

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

## **Compliance Inspection Form**

**Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)** 

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): 9/24/2019	
and the control of th	pliant – Notice of Noncompliance e Requirements on page 3.)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)  Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent the Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundward Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to protect groundward Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundward Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component)	reat to public health and safety ter rotect groundwater vater
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rar	nge: 29-0-059105
	for inspection: Transfer of property
	phone: 612-840-5250
or	
	entative phone:
	ory authority phone: 218-927-7342
Brief system description: 1500 gal. septic tank that gravity drains into a 100 gal. pu	imp tank that pumps into a 20' x 50' pres. bed.
Comments or recommendations:	
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
Inspector name:Jarold R. Farley Certifica	tion number: C-4744
Business name: Farley sewer design Lice	ense number: L-1919
12.800	one number:218-839-4737
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
STATE OF THE STATE	r local ordinance
Other information (list):	*

1.	Imp	oact on Public Health — Co	ompliance	e component :	#1 of 5				
-	Con	npliance criteria:	0		Verification method(s):				
74		tem discharges sewage to the and surface.	☐ Yes [	⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Searched for surface outlet</li><li>☑ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home</li></ul>				
		tem discharges sewage to drain or surface waters.	☐ Yes [	⊠ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)				
		tem causes sewage backup into Iling or establishment.	☐ Yes [	⊠ No	□ "Black soil" above soil dispersal system □ System requires "emergency" pumping				
1.0	sys	y "yes" answer above indic stem is an imminent threat alth and safety.		☐ System requires "emergency" pumping ☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)					
	Con	nments/Explanation:		<del></del>					
2.	Tar	n <b>k Integrity</b> – Compliance d	compone	nt #2 of 5					
	Cor	mpliance criteria:			Verification method(s):				
		tem consists of a seepage pit, spool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes [	⊠ No	<ul><li>☑ Probed tank(s) bottom</li><li>☐ Examined construction records</li></ul>				
		page pits meeting 7080.2550 may be pliant if allowed in local ordinance.			☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)				
		vage tank(s) leak below their igned operating depth.	☐ Yes [	⊠ No	<ul><li>☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth</li><li>☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)</li></ul>				
		es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:			☐ Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"				
	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater.				<ul> <li>☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)</li> <li>☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)</li> </ul>				
	Tan	mments/Explanation: lk was pumped by Kangas Ent. on							
<u>3.</u>	Otl	her Compliance Condition							
	a.	. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound. $\  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \  \ $							
	b.	Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety.   Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.							
		Explain:							
	C.	c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector . ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.							
		Explain:							

ate of installation: 11/21/2003	Unknown		Verification method(s):		
(mm/dd/yyyy) horeland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage odging? compliance criteria:	⊠ Yes	□ No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.		
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	☐Yes	□ No		nducted soil observation(s) (A	Attach boring logs)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead			☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)		
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			☐ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)		
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)			
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			530000	er (See Comments/Explanation	18 pts 01
Non-performance systems built April 1,		☐ No	Comm	ents/Explanation:	
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		boring	Info:		
Protection Areas or serving a food,	☐ Yes ☐ No		0-4" topsoil 10 yr 3/3		
beverage, or lodging establishment:			4-45" Sandy loam 10 yr 5/4		
Orainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically			45-58" sand 10 yr 7/3		
saturated soil or bedrock.*			58-64" coarse sand and rock 10 yr 5/4		
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)				tom of distribution media	98
Drainfield meets the designed vertical			B. Peri	iodically saturated soil/bedrock	
separation distance from periodically			C. Sys	tem separation	36"
saturated soil or bedrock.			D. Req	uired compliance separation*	36"
Any "no" answer above indicates to failing to protect groundwater.  . Operating Permit and Nitroger			Ordin		Not applicable
Is the system operated under an Operating	Permit?	☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", A below is requ	ired
Is the system required to employ a Nitroge	n BMP?	☐ Yes	□ No	If "yes", B below is requ	ired
	specified	in the system	design		
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)	11 46-1-	section do	es not n	need to be completed.	
BMP = Best Management Practice(s)  If the answer to both questions is "	no", tnis				
	no", tnis			<del> </del>	
If the answer to both questions is "	no", tnis			□ Yes □ No	
If the answer to both questions is " Compliance criteria		ı met?		☐ Yes ☐ No	

FARLEY SEWER SYSTEMS

drive way

SEWER DESIGN & INSTALLATION

JAROLD R. FARLEY

P.O. Box 472 McGregor, MN 55760 Bus. Lic. No. L1919 Reg. No. 4744

218-839-4737 cell

Deell Patio S& 20110'

Nouse & So pressure

Red

Red

PID.

Stiveway

D. 29-0-059105