INQPCL-1 Parcel Description 4/26/19 Data Set: PRD Production 08:29:11 Asmt/Tax year: 2019 2020 Parcel/Acct : 20-0-049900 19116 State UTA . : 21 2 00 Type: RE Pri. owner : HOLM, CHARLES 26485 Undl Tax Adr: N Unit . . . Hold tax stmt: Emergency # : Lease Type: Escrow . . : Surveyed . : Com district: 5 Taxpayer . : 26485 FALCO: 1 F.O. HOLM, CHARLES Notes: Ref. parcel : 00220000049900 Lake #/Name : UDI . : 100.00% MH court nbr: Billing: P Physical adr: 59175 Osprey Ave TIF district: KD: SWATARA 55785 User defined: UTA-Twp/City: Acres . . . : Lot/Block . : 40.00 20 MACVILLE TWP School . .: 2 HILL CITY Plat/Desc . : **** **** AMBU

Press Enter to continue or enter new parcel/tax year: 20-0-049900 2020 F1=Help F2=Trans History F3=Exit F6=Parcel History F7=Name/Addresses F8=Legal F24=More keys

00

<--Version: 1

00

00

00

Sec/Twp/Rge : 30 51.0 26 Description : SE OF SE



wq-wwists4-31 • 1/24/12

Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Page 1 of 3

Instructions: Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days	
	£ 1
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): April 30,2019	
The state of the s	npliant Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to	nublic health and safety
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thro	
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwat	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) - Failing to pro	17.
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) - Failing to protect groundware	-
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #	15) Noncompliant
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Rang	e: 20-0-049900 30-51-26
Property address: 59/75 Osprey Ave, Swatara Mn. 55785 Reason to	or inspection: Property Split
Property owner: Charles' Holm Owner's p	
or Omedanism to the transfer of the transfer o	440 700 0 000
	tative phone: 218-393-3519
Brief system description: Older in ground gravity type bed	y authority phone: 218-927-7342
Comments or recommendations:	
Pump septictankandinspect baffles	at least every 3 years
	: E
Certification	
I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the c determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowi oossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	ompliance status of this system. No n conditions during system construction,
	on number: C 3365
	se number: 4 2132
1 2	ne number: 218-927-6070
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing Forms per I	
Mother information (list): Duane Niesens' 2006 Compliance show	ing over 60" to redox
Alternate Site location + borings	
	00.657.3864 • Available in alternative forms

1. lm	pact on Public Health - Co	mpliance component	t #1 of 5				
Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):				
	stem discharge sewage to the ound surface.	☐ Yes ⊠No	Searched for surface outlet Searched for seeping in yard/backup in hom	e			
	stem discharge sewage to drain tile surface waters.	☐ Yes 😡 No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explan	ation)			
-	stem cause sewage backup into relling or establishment.	☐ Yes 🔀 No	 "Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping Performed dye test 				
	ny "yes" answer above indicate Imminent Threat to Public Hea		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)	anation			
Co	mments/Explanation:	WILL SECTION WILL SHOW	•	6			
	*		Ŷ				
	9						
2. Ta	nk Integrity Compliance cor	nponent #2 of 5					
Co	ompliance criteria:		Verification method(s):				
	stem consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes 🏅 No	Probed tank(s) bottom				
	sspool, drywell, or leaching pit		Examined construction records				
	epage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be appliant if allowed in local ordinance.		Examined Tank Integrity Fom (Attach)				
Sev	wage tank(s) leak below their	Yes No	Observed liquid level below operating depthExamined empty (pumped) tanks(s)	lth			
	signed operating depth. es, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"				
11	Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.		 Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) 				
	mments/Explanation: Tankwas ndacover were in s her Compliance Condition:		ponent #3 of 5	ers			
				nknown			
	*System is an imminent threat to pe	ublic health and safety	Explain:				
		iblic health and safety	4				
		iblic health and safety	a de la final de l				
C ₃	Explain: System is non-protective of ground wa	ater for other conditions a	as determined by inspector 🔲 Yes 🙀 No				
C _*	Explain:	ater for other conditions a	as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☑No				
C _*	Explain: System is non-protective of ground wa *System is failing to protect ground	ater for other conditions a	as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☑No				

Property address: 59175 Osprey Ave. Swatara, Mn. 55785 Inspector initials/Date: T.D. 4-30-2019

5

Date of installation: 1993 Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Lodging? Compliance criteria:	_ □ Unknown □ Yes ☑ No	Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire observations by two independen unless site conditions have been	parties are sufficient
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment: Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	¥Yes ∏ No	requirements differ. Conducted soil observation(s Two previous verifications (A Not applicable (Holding tank(s)) Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanal	(Attach boring logs) ttach boring logs) no drainfield) its/Explanation)
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	Yes No	comments/Explanation: Soil to auger, Ominfield in SoI measured from the and found over 30" of ground level.	is huiltonaslo
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*		Duane Niesenhar Seperation when he di	
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080, 2350 or 7080, 2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.	Yes No	A. Bottom of distribution media B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock C. System separation	22"
Any "no" answer above indicates the Failing to Protect Groundwater.	ne system is	 D. Required compliance separation* *May be reduced up to 15 percent Ordinance. 	t if allowed by Local
Operating Permit and Nitrogen BA	MP* Compliance	e component #5 of 5 Not ap	plicable
Is the system operated under an Operating Pern Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMF BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specific If the answer to both questions is "no", to	nit? Yes [P? Yes [ed in the system des	☐ No If "yes", A below is required ☐ No If "yes", B below is required ign	d
Compliance criteria		*	
a. Operating Permit number:			
Have the Operating Permit requirements be	een met?	Yes No	

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with lood, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

SOILS DATA

DATA

DEPTH TEXTURE MUNSELL (INCHES) COLOR 0-10 loam 10yr 3/2 10-14 Sandyloam 10yr 4/3 Showel hole below 9 rass level Over 3'to rockbed

SOILS DATA

DEPTH	TURE MUNSELL	
	COLOR	

	1	
	4	
	- u	
2.		
	25	
	1 1	

SOILS DATA

(INCHES) TEXTURE MUNSELL (INCHES) COLOR 0-7 loam 10yr 3/2 7-14 Sisty loam 10yr 4/4-5/4 Mottles at 14"

SOILS DATA

(INCHES)	TEXTURE	COLOR
0-6	loam	10yr 3/2
6-14 5	iltyloam	104 4/4-5/
m	of tles a	1,211
,,,,	of thes or	+ 12
		.
Δ		3 [
7		

Alternate Site Borings Site is on south side of house on the drawing

Property Owner(s)	Fire No./ Parcel No. 70-0-049600
System Components (Please descri 1350 gal Sept. Tank of Cover and 1	ibe the system components): 3 Savity to a 20'x 40' Rochbed with 12" Z'of Rock below
What methods were used to make the The following list is not exhaustive, not in	ne determinations for the compliance inspection? (Note: No standard protocol exists, sequential order nor indicates which combinations are necessary to make a determination)
Watertight tank(s)	Hydraulic Functioning Separation Distance
Probed tank bottom	Searched for surface outlet Conducted soil borings
Observed low liquid level	Performed hydraulic test Depth to redox 60 +
Examined const. records	Searched for seeping in yard Depth to system bottom 24
Examined empty (pumped) tank	Checked for back-up in home Examined records
Probed outside tank for black soils	Excessive ponding in soil system County Verification Records
□ Pressure/vaccum check	A Homeowner testimony Other
Other	Examined for surging in tank
	□ "Black soil" above soil system
STATUS OF THE SYSTEM	Other
(to protect groundwater) □ an immin □ compliant (non of the 3 previous co	above the system status is (check one) \(\overline{\text{V}}\) in compliance (functioning) \(\sigma\) failing ent threat to public health or safety (ITPHS), \(\sigma\) non-compliant (monitoring issue), on \(\overline{\text{D}}\) insufficient information to make determination. ertificate of Compliance \(\sigma\) Notice of Noncompliance \(\sigma\) Unknown compliance
system, reserved soil treatment area,Soil boring logs, showing each horizon whether the material is fill.	ch include: well, well setback to system, dwelling or other buildings, tank(s), soil treatment property lines, surface water and soil boring locations. In Indicate the texture, color, redoximorphic features depth to bedrock, standing water and me local ordinance that are different from the state requirements referred to on this form. omeowner as being factual.
observations are accurate as of this date.	ensed Inspector and/or Designer I or Qualified Employee Inspector and/or Qualified Employee in that accurately determined the compliance status of this system and that my recorded No determination of future hydraulic performance has been nor can be made due to action, abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.
Inspector's name (print) Duane N	1:esen Phone 218697-8104
License and/or Registration Number 257	1/57.33 Address 390 45 650 # St. Susatura MW 55 785
Employed by Home Services by	Niesen Address 39045 650 th St. Suntana MU 55-785
Signature 2	Date 10 June 2006
required by local ordinance. If the system replaced, or its use discontinued within the law, and has at least two feet of design soil discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance.	om Minnesota Statutes § 115.55) its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if fails to provide sufficient groundwater protection, then the system must be upgraded, time required by rule or the local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in it separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use dinance that is more strict. This does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, wellhead on with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

