Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:	
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days		
System Status		
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): _5/30/2019		
	ppliant - Notice of Noncompliance de Requirements on page 3.)	
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable) Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect grounds Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect ground Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component	threat to public health and safety vater protect groundwater dwater	
Property Information Parcel ID# or Sec/Twp/R	ange: 45-1-054900	
	on for inspection:app for a permit	
Property owner: _patricia Kuschke Owner	's phone:	
Owner's representative: Repre	sentative phone:	
	sentative phone:	
	atory authority phone: 218-927-7342	
Brief system description: 1860 gal. combo tank that pumps up into a 2' sand bas	atory authority phone: 218-927-7342 e 10' x 38' rock bed mound.	
Brief system description: 1860 gal. combo tank that pumps up into a 2' sand bas		
Brief system description: 1860 gal. combo tank that pumps up into a 2' sand bas Comments or recommendations:	e 10' x 38' rock bed mound. he compliance status of this system. No	
Brief system description: 1860 gal. combo tank that pumps up into a 2' sand bas Comments or recommendations: Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	e 10' x 38' rock bed mound. he compliance status of this system. No	
Brief system description:	ne compliance status of this system. No nown conditions during system construction,	
Brief system description: 1860 gal. combo tank that pumps up into a 2' sand bas Comments or recommendations: Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Inspector name: Jarold R. Farley Certification Certification Certification Certification Certification Lieuwing Certification Lieuwing Certification Lieuwing Certification Certification Certification Lieuwing Certification Lieuwin	ne 10' x 38' rock bed mound. The compliance status of this system. No nown conditions during system construction, cation number:C-4744	
Brief system description: 1860 gal. combo tank that pumps up into a 2' sand bas Comments or recommendations: Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknossible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Inspector name: Jarold R. Farley Certification Business name: Farley sewer systems Lieuther Lieuth	ne compliance status of this system. No nown conditions during system construction, cation number: C-4744 cense number: L-1919	
Certification I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknowsible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. Inspector name: Jarold R. Farley Certification Business name: Farley sewer systems Inspector signature: Light Mecessary or Locally Required Attachments	ne compliance status of this system. No nown conditions during system construction, cation number: C-4744 cense number: L-1919	

Compliance criteria:	- Commented to the control of the co	Verification method(s):
System discharges sewage to the ground surface.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☒ Searched for surface outlet☒ Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
System discharges sewage to drain tile or surface waters.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes ☐ Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
System causes sewage backup into dwelling or establishment.	☐ Yes 🛛 No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system System requires "emergency" pumping
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent threat to public health and safety.		☐ Performed dye test ☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) ☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Comments/Explanation:		
Tank Integrity – Compliance	component #2 of 5	
Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit.	☐ Yes ☒ No	☐ Probed tank(s) bottom
Seepage pits meeting 7080,2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance.		☐ Examined construction records ☐ Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach)
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth.	☐ Yes ⊠ No	☐ Observed liquid level below operating depth☐ Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s)
If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:		Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
,		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect g		
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go	roundwater.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect g	roundwater.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go	roundwater.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go	roundwater.	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect grown comments/Explanation: tanks was at proper level with very fe	roundwater. w solids-tank is pumped	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) I by kangas ent.
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: tanks was at proper level with very fe	roundwater. w solids-tank is pumped ns – Compliance com	Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) I by kangas ent.
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: tanks was at proper level with very fe Other Compliance Conditiona. Maintenance hole covers are damed as the covers are damed of the covers a	roundwater. w solids-tank is pumped ns – Compliance com naged, cracked, unsecure) to immediately and adv	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) If by kangas ent. Inponent #3 of 5 Inponent #3 of 5 Inponent #3 of 5 Inponent #3 No ☐ Unknown Inponent public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown Inponent
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: tanks was at proper level with very few. Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are dame b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.)	roundwater. w solids-tank is pumped ns – Compliance com naged, cracked, unsecure) to immediately and adv	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) If by kangas ent. Inponent #3 of 5 Inponent #3 of 5 Inponent #3 of 5 Inponent #3 No ☐ Unknown Inponent public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown Inponent
Any "yes" answer above ind system is failing to protect go Comments/Explanation: tanks was at proper level with very few. Other Compliance Condition a. Maintenance hole covers are damn b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc. *System is an imminent threat the system is a system is a system in the system is a system is a system in the system is a system in the system is a system in the syste	roundwater. w solids-tank is pumped ns - Compliance com naged, cracked, unsecure) to immediately and adv to public health and said	☐ Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) If by kangas ent. Inponent #3 of 5 Ed, or appear to be structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknowersely.

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5						
Date of installation: 8/8/1999 (mm/dd/yyyy)	Unknown	Verification method(s):				
Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging?	⊠ Yes □ No	Soil observation does not expire. Pre observations by two independent pa unless site conditions have been alte	rties are sufficient,			
Compliance criteria:	- Andrews	requirements differ.				
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food,	☐ Yes ☐ No	☐ Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs)				
		☐ Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs)				
beverage or lodging establishment:		□ Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)				
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical		☐ Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)				
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.		Other (See Comments/Explanation)	nts/Explanation)			
Non-performance systems built April 1,	⊠ Yes □ No	Comments/Explanation:				
1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead		0-4" top soil 10 yr 3/3				
Protection Areas or serving a food,		4-12" sandy loam 10 yr 5/4				
beverage, or lodging establishment:		mottles @ 12"				
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically						
saturated soil or bedrock.*						
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	☐ Yes ☐ No	Indicate depths or elevations				
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.		A. Bottom of distribution media	102			
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)						
		B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	99			
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically		C. System separation	36"			
saturated soil or bedrock.			36"			
Any "no" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. D. Required compliance separation* 36" *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Ordinance.						
5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable						
Is the system operated under an Operating Permit?						
Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?						
BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design						
If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.						
Compliance criteria						
a Operating Permit number						
Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?		☐ Yes ☐ No				
b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place	and properly functionin	g? ☐ Yes ☐ No				
Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.						
Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to pul	blic health and safety (ITPHS) must be upg	raded, replaced, or its use			

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.