

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems (SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:			
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner within 15 days				
Sustan Status				
System Status				
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy):05/18/2016				
Compliant – Certificate of Compliance (Valid for 3 years from report date, unless shorter time frame outlined in Local Ordinance.)				
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)				
Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to	public health and safety			
☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent threat to public health and safety				
Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwater				
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro				
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundwa				
Operating permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component #				

Property Information	Parcel	arcel ID# or Sec/Twp/Range:08-1-06880		
Property address: 30465 427th S	t Aitkin MN 56431	Reason for inspection: sale		
Property owner:Robert Ban	do	Owner's phone: <u>320-980-0763</u>	3	
or				
Owner's representative:		Representative phone:		
Local regulatory authority:		Regulatory authority phone:		
Brief system description:				
Comments or recommendations	:			

Certification

I hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the compliance status of this system. No determination of future system performance has been nor can be made due to unknown conditions during system construction, possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage. ALÍAN 393 Certification number: Inspector name: 233 Business name: License number: ᠮ᠉ᡣ᠋ᡃ᠋ᠶ᠉ᢣ᠋ᠴ᠋ᡃᡗᡆᡅ 320-211-3 Inspector signature: Phone number: Ruckalow 220 е Necessary or Locally Required Attachments

Soil boring logs	System/As-built drawing	Forms per local ordinance	

1. Impact on Public Health – Compliance component #1 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):	
System discharges sewage to the	🗌 Yes 🛛 No	Searched for surface outlet	
ground surface.		Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home	
System discharges sewage to drain	🗆 Yes 🙀 No	Excessive ponding in soil system/D-boxes	
tile or surface waters.		Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)	
System causes sewage backup into	🗌 Yes 🙀 No	"Black soil" above soil dispersal system	
dwelling or establishment.		System requires "emergency" pumping	
Any "yes" answer above indi		Performed dye test	
system is an imminent threat	to public	Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation)	
health and safety.		Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanatio	

Comments/Explanation:

2. Tank Integrity – Compliance component #2 of 5

Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks:	□ Yes 🕵No	 Probed tank(s) bottom Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Attach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil"
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is failing to protect groundwater. Comments/Explanation:		 Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)

3. Other Compliance Conditions – Compliance component #3 of 5

a.	Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to be structurally unsound.	□ Yes*	⋈ №	Unknown
b.	Other issues <i>(electrical hazards, etc.)</i> to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety.	□ Yes*	⊠ No	🗌 Unknown
	Explain:			

4. Soil Separation – Compliance component #4 of 5

· ·				
Date of installation:	🕅 Unknown		Verification method(s):	
(mm/dd/yyyy) Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food beverage lodging? Compliance criteria:	XYes 🗌 N	No	Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil observations by two independent parties are sufficient, unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ.	
For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and	∏Yes ∏N	No	Conducted soil observation(s) (At	tach boring logs)
not located in Shoreland or Wellhead			Two previous verifications (Attach	boring logs)
Protection Area or not serving a food, beverage or lodging establishment:			Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield)	
Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical			Unable to verify (See Comments/E)	xplanation)
separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.			Other (See Comments/Explanation)	
Non-performance systems built April 1, 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment:	⊠Yes □1	No	Comments/Explanation:	
Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock.*				
"Experimental", "Other", or "Performance"	🗌 Yes 🔲 N	No	Indicate depths or elevations	
systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080.			A. Bottom of distribution media	102'
2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required)		_	B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock	105'+
Drainfield meets the designed vertical separation distance from periodically			C. System separation	3' +
saturated soil or bedrock.			D. Required compliance separation*	3'
Any "no" answer above indicates the	he system i	is	*May be reduced up to 15 percent if	allowed by Local

failing to protect groundwater.

Ordinance.

Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* – Compliance component #5	015	🔀 Not applicable
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----	------------------

Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP?

Yes No If "yes", A below is required

□ Yes □ No If "yes", B below is required

BMP = Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design

If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed.

Compliance criteria

a.	Operating Permit number:	□ Yes □ No
	Have the Operating Permit requirements been met?	
b.	Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning?	🗌 Yes 🔲 No
	<i>"</i> " " " " "	

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn. Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law.

