Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

520 Lafayette Road North St. Paul, MN 55155-4194

Compliance Inspection Form

Existing Subsurface Sewage Treatment Systems
(SSTS)

Doc Type: Compliance and Enforcement

Instructions : Inspection results based on Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) requirements and attached forms – additional local requirements may also apply.	For local tracking purposes:
Submit completed form to Local Unit of Government (LUG) and system owner	
within 15 days	
System Status	
System status on date (mm/dd/yyyy): June 26, 2017	
	npliant – Notice of Noncompliance rade Requirements on page 3)
Reason(s) for noncompliance (check all applicable)	8
☐ Impact on Public Health (Compliance Component #1) – Imminent threat to	
Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Imminent thr	·
☐ Tank Integrity (Compliance Component #2) – Failing to protect groundwat ☐ Other Compliance Conditions (Compliance Component #3) – Failing to pro	
Soil Separation (Compliance Component #4) – Failing to protect groundw.	
Departing permit/monitoring plan requirements (Compliance Component 1	
Property address 34202 362 Mace Aitkin, Mn. 56431 Reason to Property owner: Lisa M. Johnson Owner's por	tative phone:
Certification	
hereby certify that all the necessary information has been gathered to determine the of determination of future system performance has been not can be made due to unknow possible abuse of the system, inadequate maintenance, or future water usage.	
nspector name: Tom O'Weil Certificati	
	se number: $\angle 2132$
nspector signature: Tom ONe	ne number: 2/8-927-6070
Necessary or Locally Required Attachments	
Soil boring logs System/As-built drawing Forms per	ocal ordinance
MOther information (list): County As by 11+ Lour to Soils from	inspection soil borings

System discharge sewage to the ground surface. System discharge sewage to drain tile or surface waters. System cause sewage backup into divelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation: Home Curner Said everything warks fine. System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. System consists of a seepage pit, designed pit. Seepage pits meeting 7000 2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ardinance. Sowage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an Imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were full at the proper operating depth. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were full at the proper operating and indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were full at the proper operating and indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were full at the proper operating and indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were full at the proper operating and indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater.	.	Impact on Public Health - Co	лирианое сопц	
Excessive ponding in soll system()-loxes System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Yes No make a performed dye test Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Yes No dwelling of the complaint is allowed in focal ordinance. Yes No designed operating depth Examined construction records Examined construction records Examined and safety Probed uside tank(s) bottom Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for black soil Intable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Probed outside tank(s) for black soil Intable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Probed outside tank(s) for black soil Intable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)			☐ Yes 🕱 N	Searched for seeping in yard/backup in home
System cause sewage backup into dwelling or establishment. Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is an imminent Threat to Public Health and Safety. Comments/Explanation. Heme Currer Said everything works fine Comments/Explanation. Heme Currer Said everything works fine 2. Tank Integrity — Compliance component #2 of 5 Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage us to meeting 7602 2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sowage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank.com pair friends & were full at the proper operating for local components/Explanation is an imminent threat to public health and safety Examined to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Exp		System discharge sewage to drain tile	☐ Yes ⊠ N	Homeowner testimony (See Comments/Explanation)
Any "es" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: **Tank Compartments* Explanation** Comments* (See Comments* Explanation** Verification method(s): System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local radinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "es" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments* Explanation: **Tank* compartment* were full at the proper operating planation of			☐ Yes 🖾 N	System requires "emergency" pumping
Compliance criteria: System consists of a seepage pit. Sepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant.! allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were full at the proper operating /eucl and the proper operating /eucl and selection in method(s): Probed tank(s) bottom Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Departing depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Departing depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Departing depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Departing depth Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Examined Tank Integrity Form (Alt				
Compliance criteria: Verification method(s): System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Yes No Examined construction records Examined construction records Examined Tank Integrity Form (Altach) Compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Observed liquid level below operating depth Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. Yes No Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Probed outside tank(s) for 'black soil' Yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Proper operating depth Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Proper operating depth Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Commen		Comments/Explanation: Home own	ner saideve	crything works fine
System consists of a seepage pit, cesspool, drywell, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were full at the proper operating leading to protect groundwater. 3. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes' No Unknow "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: every thing leakst full for the conditions as determined by inspector Yes' No System is failing to protect groundwater.	۷.	Tank Integrity — Compliance co	omponent #2 of	5
System consists of a seepage pit. cesspool, drywelt, or leaching pit. Seepage pits meeting 7080.2550 may be compliant if allowed in local ordinance. Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were fullar the proper operating performance of the pump tank was very clean. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes' No Unknow "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: every thing looked fine." c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes' No System is failing to protect groundwater.		Compliance criteria:		Verification method(s):
Sewage tank(s) leak below their designed operating depth. If yes, which sewage tank(s) leaks: Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were fullat the proper operating permits/Explanation was very clean. Other Compliance Conditions — Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes" No Unknow "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: every thing leaks: Observed liquid level below operating depth Examined empty (pumped) tanks(s) Examined empty (pumped) tanks(2	System consists of a seepage pit,	☐ Yes ☑ N	10
Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Probed outside tank(s) for "black soil" Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tankcompartments were fullat the proper operating /evel and the pump tank was very clean. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknow "System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: every thing /coked fine C. System is non-protective of groundwater System is failing to protect groundwater Yes* No Yes* System is failing to protect groundwater Yes* No Yes* System is failing to protect groundwater Yes* No Yes* System is failing to protect groundwater Yes* No Yes* Yes* System is failing to protect groundwater Yes* No Yes* System is failing to protect groundwater Yes* No Yes*	8			Observed liquid level below operating depth
Any "yes" answer above indicates the system is Failing to Protect Groundwater. Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were fullat the proper operating /evel and the pump tank was very clean. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes' No Unknown 'System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: every thing /coked fine C. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes' No System is failing to protect groundwater	72	designed operating depth.	Yes 🔼 N	Chamber of the first of the fir
Comments/Explanation: Tank compartments were fullat the proper operating level and the pump tank was very clean. 3. Other Compliance Conditions - Compliance component #3 of 5 a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. Yes* No Unknown to the issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown threat is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: every thing leoked fine c. System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No System is failing to protect groundwater	3:	Any "yes" answer above indi		Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) Other methods not listed (See Comments/Explanation)
a. Maintenance hole covers are damaged, cracked, unsecured, or appear to structurally unsound. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown the issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown the issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown the issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ Unknown the impact of impact of impact public health or safety. ☐ Yes* ☒ No ☐ System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☒ No *System is failing to protect groundwater.	3.	Comments/Explanation: Tank co level and the pump	mpartmen tank wa	ts werefullat the proper operating is very clean.
 Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) to immediately and adversely impact public health or safety. Yes* No Unknown *System is an imminent threat to public health and safety Explain: every thing leoked fine System is non-protective of ground water for other conditions as determined by inspector Yes* No *System is failing to protect groundwater 				
*System is failing to protect groundwater		b. Other issues (electrical hazards, etc.) t *System is an imminent threat to	o immediately and public health an	d adversely impact public health or safety. 📋 Yes* 🔟 No 📋 Unknow
с:хран.		*System is failing to protect grou		nditions as determined by inspector ☐ Yes* ☑ No
		пхрівін.		

4. Soil Separation - Compliance component #4 of 5 Date of installation: 9/13/2006 Unknown Shoreland/Wellhead protection/Food Beverage Yes No Verification method(s): Soil observation does not expire. Previous soil Lodging? observations by two independent parties are sufficient. Compliance criteria: unless site conditions have been altered or local requirements differ. For systems built prior to April 1, 1996, and Yes No Conducted soil observation(s) (Attach boring logs) not located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Area or not serving a food, Two previous verifications (Attach boring logs) beverage or lodging establishment: Not applicable (Holding tank(s), no drainfield) Drainfield has at least a two-foot vertical Unable to verify (See Comments/Explanation) separation distance from periodically Other (See Comments/Explanation) saturated soil or bedrock. Comments/Explanation borings should not Non-performance systems built April 1, Yes No trace to be done again. Attached are county inspectors boring and borings from this inspection 1996, or later or for non-performance systems located in Shoreland or Wellhead Protection Areas or serving a food, beverage, or lodging establishment; Drainfield has a three-foot vertical separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. "Experimental", "Other", or "Performance" Yes No Indicate depths of elevations systems built under pre-2008 Rules; Type IV A. Bottom of distribution media or V systems built under 2008 Rules (7080. 2350 or 7080.2400 (Advanced Inspector License required) B. Periodically saturated soil/bedrock Drainfield meets the designed vertical C. System separation separation distance from periodically saturated soil or bedrock. D. Required compliance separation* Any "no" answer above indicates the system is *May be reduced up to 15 percent if allowed by Local Failing to Protect Groundwater. Ordinance. 5. Operating Permit and Nitrogen BMP* - Compliance component #5 of 5 Not applicable Is the system operated under an Operating Permit? Yes No If "yes", A below is required Is the system required to employ a Nitrogen BMP? Yes No If "yes", B below is required BMP=Best Management Practice(s) specified in the system design If the answer to both questions is "no", this section does not need to be completed. Compliance criteria a. Operating Permit number. Yes E No Have the Operating Permit requirements been met? b. Is the required nitrogen BMP in place and properly functioning? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Any "no" answer indicates Noncompliance.

Upgrade Requirements (Minn, Stat. § 115.55) An imminent threat to public health and safety (ITPHS) must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within ten months of receipt of this notice or within a shorter period if required by local ordinance. If the system is failing to protect ground water, the system must be upgraded, replaced, or its use discontinued within the time required by local ordinance. If an existing system is not failing as defined in law, and has at least two feet of design soil separation, then the system need not be upgraded, repaired, replaced, or its use discontinued, notwithstanding any local ordinance that is more strict. This provision does not apply to systems in shoreland areas, Wellhead Protection Areas, or those used in connection with food, beverage, and lodging establishments as defined in law,

SOILS DATA

UEPTH (INCHES)	TEXTURE	COLOR	•••
	Loam	1040 210	•••
6-14 5	Tilty Class loss	10yr 3/2 n 10yr 5/2-5/4	
	" / say is	1 1042 3/2-3/4	1
	fles at 18		
, , , , ,	1163 061 16	7	
W _{gr}			

SOILS DATA

(INCHE	5).	EXTUR		OLUR	
0-7	La	-		412/2	
7-16	-Siltyl	lay los	em 10	4-5/2-5/4	.
				,	
	mo	1+/6	esat	-13	
					1
					ĺ
					ļ
					1

SOILS DATA

DEPTH	TEXTURE	MUNSELL
(INCHES)		COLOR
	Sie	

SOILS DATA

DEPTH=	I EXTURE:	
		JOSEON
× .		
		DA CHILLE THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF THE

SOIL BOR	ING LOG #1 TEXTURE	COLOR	SOIL BORING DEPTH	LOG #2 TEXTURE	COLOR
0-497	TYSI, L			,	
4"- 13	1 SILTY CCAY	Corny			
	10 TR \$	12			
Mot	TCLS @ 184				
So	ils from	County	a #	5 5 4 4	
Ins	pectordone	in 2006	0.0%	5	
iel		1		* 20	ne e
			- x - x - x - x - x - x - x - x - x - x		

IDENTIFY LOCATIONS OF: (BORINGS, NEIGHBORING STRUCTURES, WELLS, DRAINFIELDS, DRAINAGE PATTERNS, OR OTHER FEATURES THAT MAY IMPACT THE SITE).

			B	781 NO. 2
	e	·	25	
	90 10	·	9) 91 (8	
		k.		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
L				

INDIVIDUAL SEWAGE TREATMENT SYSTEM INSPECTION FORM

Township Spencer Date of Inspection	Permit Number 347
2N ~ 1	
	Parcel Number
Project Address That Part Gort lot 1 In	Occ 373966 Installer Jon Oneil
City Zip Code	New Repair
SETBACKS:	DIST. or DROP BOX & TYPE
Buildings to tank(s) 2 °	
Buildings to drainfield 60	TRENCHAS, BEDS, OR GRAVELLESS LEACHFIELD:
Well(s) 50' or 100' 50 1"	Trench depth
Lake/Creek/Wetland 150	Trench length
	Trench bottom width
SEPTIC TANKS:	Trench bottom level
Liquid capacity 1860 Cembo	Trench spacing
Manufacturer & type Jic joks - cast	Drainfield rock below pipe
Type of baffle Placs Tre	Size of gravelless pipe
Inspection pipes] - t(1) - 6 t	Depth of backfill
Manholes access 2	Absorption area: square feet
No. & height of risers 5'	lineal feet
	PUMPS:
MOUNDS:	Tank capacity 1500
reicent slope	Tank manufacturer & type Jac pre-cast
Upslope dike width	No. & height of risers
Downslope dike width / b'	Pump manufacturer & model # Gov LQ 1415 94
Sidestope dike width /6' Drainfield rock below pipe 9'	Horsepower & GPM 9/10 - 50
	Feet of head
Depth of sand below rock Au"	Cycles per day 5
Perforation size & spacing 1/4 - 3	Gallons per cycle //ن۵
Pipe size & spacing 1/2 - 3/6/terals	Size of discharge line ∂'
Dimensions of rock bed 10 × 50	Type of electrical hookup
Dimensions of sand base 43 X 74	1 - 1
Final cover 16 in Conta 18 on Road Edg	Cycle counter (commercial)
DRAWING OF SYSTEM	1,11,1
(Include Soils)	1 1 100
1-3	Goog Day well
1 70	Googs Day
1 16	¿ct /
1 [[[]]	
10	
Inspector's Comments	
Corrective Action Required	
191	
Inspector's Signature of Julium	Installer's Signature
White-County Ye	llow-Applicant Pink-Installer

